

# Consultee Comments for Planning Application

## 18/04606/COMPLY

### Application Summary

Application Number: 18/04606/COMPLY

Address: The Watchtower Rissington Airfield Upper Rissington Gloucestershire GL54 2NT

Proposal: Compliance with Conditions 5 (contamination), 6 (landscaping scheme), 9 (sample panel render) and 10 (details) of Permission 17/04549/FUL - Conversion and change of use of existing airfield control tower into single dwelling - Variation of Condition 2 (Approved drawings) of planning permission ref. 16/00075/FUL to incorporate amended design

Case Officer: Sophie Browne

### Consultee Details

Name: Mr ERS Pollution Consultation

Address: Cotswold District Council, Trinity Road, Cirencester, Gloucestershire GL7 1PX

Email: ERS.pollution@2020partnership.uk

On Behalf Of: Env Health Contamination

### Comments

The following documents were submitted with the application:

Wilson Associates, Letter report - Re: Air Control Tower, Rissington Airfield, Upper Rissington, Glos, 2 June 2017, TC/4188

NMW Architects, Contamination Removal Strategy, Drawing Number 1097-42, August 2018

The investigation goes some way to characterising the site, however, I have the following concerns:

Drawing No. 4188/2 shows two above ground diesel storage tanks at the site, however, there is no mention of these in the main body of the report. It is not known what these were used for or if there is any underground pipework associated with them. Neither tank location has been investigated or sampled although this would normally be expected as part of the desk study and intrusive investigation works.

Three samples were tested for asbestos at depths of 0.2-0.3m. Potential asbestos containing material has been identified at the site, however, as made ground material is to be removed, this will adequately deal with any fibres in this strata. Does the consultant think that sufficient asbestos analysis has been undertaken in areas which are proposed to remain on site, i.e. along the southern boundary?

The Remedial Options Appraisal recommends supplementary investigation around TP3 and along the boundary to delineate the extent of PAHs and this has not yet been undertaken.

The Contamination Removal Strategy does not provide sufficient detail regarding remediation of the site and does not fully accord with the recommendations within the report. It is not clear what will happen to the excavated material and what depth of clean cover will be imported to site, how this material will be sourced or how it will be validated.

Further information is required before the first paragraph of Condition 5 of planning permission 17/04549/FUL is satisfied.

Karen Toomer  
Senior Officer